## REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES REGIONAL TRIAL COURT NATIONAL CAPITAL JUDICIAL REGION

MARIKINA CITY BRANCH 263

In the Matter of a Petition for Declaratory Relief Regarding Certain Provisions of Republic Act No. 9211 and its Implementing Rules and Regulations

Inter-Agency Committee - Tobacco, represented by the Department Secretaries of the Department of Trade OFFICE OF LEGAL AID

DATE 2 pulty

TIME: 1:00

Fortune Tobacco Corporation, Petitioner,

SCA CASE NO. 2007-688-MK

Justice, Department of Education, Department of Agriculture, Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Department of Science and Technology, Department of Finance, Administrator of the National Tobacco Administration, President of the Philippine Tobacco Institute, and the President of the Framework Convention of Tobacco Control Alliance, Philippines Respondents.	
PHILIP MORRIS PHILIPPINES MANUFACTURING, INC	Intervenor.
JT INTERNATIONAL (PHILIPPINES), INC.;	Intervenor.
LA SUERTE CIGAR AND CIGARETTE FACTORY, TELENGTAN BROTHERS & SONS, INC. (LA SUERTE);	and Intervenor.
MIGHTY CORPORATION TOBACCO COMPANY.	Intervenor.

## ORDER

Submitted for resolution by this Court is the motion filed by Atty. Theodore Te for and on behalf of UP Law Students seeking the reconsideration of the order dated October 25, 2007 which denied their motion for intervention.

In support of their motion, herein movants contend that far from being kibitzers, they have both legal standing as well as legal interest as they form part of the youth that is the target market of the advertising.

TATANA P

This Court has thoroughly gone over the subject motion and finds that the issues therein which have been repeatedly raised ad nauseam by the movants have already been pronounced without merit in the assailed order.

At any rate, let it be here emphasized that the petition is one for declaratory relief purposely filed by the petitioner Fortune Tobacco Corporation and joined by other similarly situated cigarette manufacturers and distributors for certain clarifications on the interpretation of the provisions of RA 9211 so that they will not run in conflict with the law, the same imposing penal sanctions for any violations thereof.

Further, it should be noted that the public respondents, which represent the various sectors of the society, including the herein movants, are aptly represented by the Office of the Solicitor General in this proceedings. There is therefore no cause for alarm that a segment of the society especially the youth which the movants represent will be omitted in the determination of the proper interpretation of the law.

WHEREFORE, foregoing premises considered, the motion for reconsideration is hereby denied for lack of merit.

SO ORDERED.

Marikina City, February 7, 2008.

LICE C. OUTIERREZ
Pairing Judge

ACG:PDFD/edmy